

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/982,629 10/18/2001		10/18/2001	Kenneth F. Buechler	071949-1315	8727	
30542	7590	04/19/2004		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & I	ARD	NER	ALEXANDER, LYLE			
P.O. BOX 80 SAN DIEGO		92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN-DILGO	,. CA	72130=0270		1743		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

					Δ			
<u> </u>		Application !	No.	Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————			
		09/982,629		BUECHLER, KEN	INETH F.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lyle A Alexar	nder	1743				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the co	ever sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply-received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he within the statutory will apply and will expose the application.	nowever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/9/04	4 interview su	mmary.					
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consid	deration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• • • •		` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note t	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been re s have been re rity documents	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive	on N o	Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bureau	•	` ''	d				
·	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the centilled	copies not receive	u.				
A44a - b								
Attachmen	ম(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	as l	☐ Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/982,629

Art Unit: 1743

This Office action is in response to the 4/9/04 interview summary and a further search that found new art relevant to the instant claims. This non-final Office action will replace the 1/14/04 final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kuhn et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 9.

Kuhn et al. further teaches predetermined liquid flow paths that lead the sample to the reagent area. In column 4 lines 40+ specifically describe the sample is prevented

Application/Control Number: 09/982,629

Art Unit: 1743

from laterally moving from the sample-receiving site by impermeable barrier means.

These impermeable barrier means have been read on the claimed channels.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Romanauskas.

Romanauskas et al. teach a device with a hydrophilic capillary fluid inlet and a hydrophobic capillary outlet to control fluid flow characteristics (see the abstract). This has been read on the claimed fluid flow through a first capillary hydrophilic region to a second adjacent hydrophobic region. Column 2 lines 58+ through column 4 teach three capillary channels(44,45 and 46) and specifically hydrophobic capillary(44) channel and hydrophilic capillaries(45 and 46) that have been read on the claimed first hydrophilic, second hydrophobic and the third hydrophilic capillary regions. Air is expelled through outlet capillary(44) that has been read on the claimed vent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/22/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue Kuhn et al. does not teach the claimed capillary channel but rather teaches capillary flow through a bibulous substrate. Applicants have references page 5 lines 3+ of the specification that "the devices described herein do not use bibulous or porous materials " as well as the 10/21/03 1.132 Declaration to bolster their position the bibulous capillary flow of Kuhn et al. cannot be read on the instant claims. Applicant concludes the aforementioned definitions define the claimed capillary channel that

Application/Control Number: 09/982,629

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

defines over Kuhn et al. The capillary channel is claimed as "comprising a capillary region" and is indistinguishable from the taught capillary regions in the bibulous substrate of Kuhn et al. The claimed capillary channels must be interpreted in light of the specification (e.g. in view of a positive definition of the capillary channels). Upon further review of the specification, no description of the claimed capillary channel could be found that would exclude the capillary flow regions found in bibulous materials. In the absence of the specification providing a positive description of what a capillary channel is, it is insufficient for Applicant to pick a definition from the specification of what the capillary channel is not or use a 1.132 Declaration to define the term superceding the specification especially when the "capillary channel" is claimed in terms indistinguishable from Kuhn et al. (e.g. "comprising a capillary region"). The Office respectfully maintains the rejection over Kuhn et al. is proper. Applicant is encouraged to further review the specification for a positive definition describing the claimed capillary channel in such terms to define over Kuhn et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

YLE A. ALEXANDER RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300